angles involving the hydrogen atoms are known with only approximate accuracy. The values reported are typical of those obtained by X-ray diffraction for other organic molecules.
The packing of the molecules is illustrated by the stercoscopic view of the unit cell shown in Fig. 4. This view shows clearly how one carboxyl oxygen and the sulfoxide oxygen atom point toward the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group, while the second carboxyl oxygen atom occupies an open part of the structure. The chondrine molecules pack together to form a compact structure which enables the maximum number of intermolecular hydrogen bonds to be formed between the oxygen atoms and the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group.
The thermal parameters for the heavy atoms are sufficiently small to suggest that the chondrine molecules are rather firmly held in the crystal by the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, the electrostatic attraction of the zwitterions, and van der Waals forces. There is no appreciable oscillation about the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ bond, as verified by the small size of the $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids for $\mathrm{O}(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}(3)$ shown in Fig. 4.

The closest intermolecular approaches between heavy atoms, which are not hydrogen bonded, are $3 \cdot 117$ $\AA$ for $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$, and $3 \cdot 306 \AA$ for $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$; these distances are normal. Several additional intermolecular distances occur between 3.38 and $3.50 \AA$ A.

The authors thank Dr Alan Zalkin for the use of his computer programs and both Professor David H. Templeton and Dr Zalkin for helpful discussions during the course of this investigation.
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#### Abstract

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{I}$, DYE, is an efficient spectral sensitizer of silver halide photographic systems and is known to form $J$-aggregates with ease. We have determined the crystal structures of the 2:1 methanol:DYE solvate (DYEM) and the 1:1 acetonitrile:DYE solvate (DYEA) from single-crystal X-ray intensity data collected with an automated diffractometer. DYEM is monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / a$, with $a=22.547$ (9), $b=11.036(5), c=13.375(5) \AA, \beta=107.48$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ and $Z=4$; DYEA is triclinic, $P 1$, with $a=10.392$ (3), $b=8.242$ (2), $c=9.284$ (2) $\AA, \alpha=93.92$ (2), $\beta=107.81$ (1), $\gamma=77.71$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ and $Z=1$. The structures were solved by the heavy-atom technique and refined by block-diagonal least-squares methods. The final $R$ values are 0.033 for DYEM and 0.040 for DYEA. The distances and angles for the cations in the two structures agree very well with each other and with the usual accepted values. In spite of the extensive conjugation the cations are only approximately planar. In both structures, the cations pack plane to plane, and end to end on edge in sheets parallel to (100) separated by sheets containing the anions and solute molecules. There is a remarkable similarity of the (100) projections of the cation sheets in the two structures to each other and to the arrangement of ions in (111) faces of AgBr .


## Introduction

Spectral sensitization, the process by which the photographic or photoconductive sensitivity of a material is
extended into spectral regions outside its intrinsic absorption region, was discovered a century ago by Vogel (1873). Since then, the effect, which is indispensable to photographic technology, has been studied intensively
(West, 1970; West \& Gilman, 1969; Brooker, 1966; West \& Carroll, 1966). Most spectral sensitizers of silver halides have been organic dyes, and the cyanine dyes, with their strong absorption and tendency to form aggregates with close intermolecular spacings and strong coupling, have been studied and used most extensively. A given cyanine dye may simultaneously form several different kinds of aggregates which exhibit spectral shifts of the order of $\pm 100 \mathrm{~nm}$ from the solution monomer spectrum. Red-shifted aggregates with intense sharp absorption maxima were first reported by Jelley (1936) and by Scheibe (Scheibe, Kandler \& Ecker, 1937; Scheibe, Mareis \& Ecker, 1937)
to form well-ordered, multilayer $J$-aggregates with ease (Zuckerman, 1967). We eventually determined two complete crystal structures, a $2: 1$ methanol:DYE solvate (DYEM) and a 1:1 acetonitrile:DYE solvate (DYEA).


DYE

Crystal data


Molecular weight Color-reflection Color-transmission Habit
Crystal system Wavelength (Mo K $\alpha_{1}$ ) Unit cell: $a$
$b$
c
$\alpha$
$\beta$
$\gamma$
$V$
$Z$
$D_{\text {obs }}$ (flotation)
$D_{\text {calc }}$
$\mu($ Mo $K \alpha)$
$F(000)$
Absent spectra
Space group
General positions

DYEM


DYEA
$\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{I} . \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$
$693 \cdot 29$
Green
Red
Chunky
Triclinic
$0 \cdot 70926 \AA$
$10 \cdot 392$ (3) $\AA$
8.242 (2)
9.284 (2)
$93.92(2)^{\circ}$
$107 \cdot 81$ (1)
77.71 (2)
739.7 (4) $\AA^{3}$

1
$1.51 \mathrm{g.cm}^{-3}$
$1.556 \mathrm{g.cm}^{-3}$
$14.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
348
none
$P 1\left(C_{1}\right)$
$x, y, z$
and are called $J$-aggregates; blue-shifted aggregates are called $H$-aggregates. Current theory indicates that the relatively planar dye molecules in these aggregates pack in an arrangement resembling a slipped deck of cards in which the amount of lateral displacement between adjacent dye molecules determines the absorption region of the aggregate (Norland, Ames \& Taylor, 1970). Bird and coworkers (Emerson, Conlin, Rosenoff, Norland, Rodriquez, Chin \& Bird, 1967; Bird, Zuckerman \& Ames, 1968; Bird, Norland, Rosenoff \& Michaud, 1968; Walworth, Rosenoff, and Bird, 1970; Rosenoff, Walworth \& Bird, 1970; Gray, Brewer \& Bird, 1970; Bird, 1971) have used the slipped deck model, the thiacarbocyanine crystal structures of Wheatley (1959a,b), and the arrangement of silver ions in the (111) and (100) planes of AgBr to arrive at a model of aggregation and sensitization in silver halide systems.

Generally, however, because of a lack of firm experimental structure data, our understanding of the structure and packing of these dyes is deficient. We undertook to determine the crystal structure of $5,5^{\prime}$, 6,6'-tetrachloro- $1,1^{\prime}, 3,3^{\prime}$-tetraethylbenzimidazolocarbocyanine iodide (DYE), a green-sensitizing dye known

## Experimental

Crystals of the dye, obtained by rapid recrystallization from a hot saturated solution in methanol, were provided by E. J. VanLare, of these Laboratories. Optical and X-ray examination indicated these crystals were somewhat bent and distorted and probably disordered. Precession photographs of the long (c), thick tabular (100) crystals yielded orthorhombic cell constants: $a=$ $18 \cdot 6, b=11 \cdot 1$ and $c=13 \cdot 5 \AA$. The observed density of $1.58 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ compares with $1.55 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ calculated for four DYE molecules per cell. Systematic absences indicated $P 2_{1} 22_{1}$ as the probable space group, but this appears inconsistent with the crystal structure of the methanol solvate, DYEM, which converts directly to this form upon standing in air.

Continued attempts yielded a technique for growing satisfactory single crystals from methanol. A saturated solution of the dye in boiling methanol was rapidly cooled in a dry ice-acetone bath. The cooled solution, which appeared to be clouded with a very fine suspension, was allowed to warm to room temperature, whereupon the cloudiness disappeared. The solution
vessel was sealed to prevent evaporation and allowed to stand undisturbed. Good undistorted crystals grew within two days. These crystals were morphologically very similar to the as-delivered material and deteriorated to this form within a few minutes in air with delamination into (100) plates and preservation of the $b$ and $c$ lattice dimensions. The crystals could be preserved indefinitely in an air-methanol atmosphere and were sealed in thin-walled glass capillaries for the Xray analysis. The crystal structure showed the material to be a $2: 1$ methanol:DYE solvate and this was verified by a subsequent proton count in a high-resolution nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum of a $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of the solvate in dimethyl sulfoxide.
Slow evaporation of a solution of the dye in acetonitrile produced good single crystals. These crystals retained their form but developed small branched cracks and pitted surfaces on standing in air for two weeks and these, too, were mounted in thin-walled glass capillaries for the X-ray measurements. The crystal structure showed this material to be a $1: 1$ acetonitrile:DYE solvate and this was subsequently verified by the nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum.
Space group extinctions and preliminary lattice dimensions were obtained from precession photographs. The unit cell for DYEA is the reduced crystallographic cell (Lawton \& Jacobson, 1965); the space group is $P 1$ because the DYE molecules cannot contain a center of inversion and there is no evidence of disorder. This unusual case of a symmetrical synthetic material crystallizing in $P 1$ was later verified from the statistical averages and distribution of the normalized structure factors (Hauptman \& Karle, 1953; Daly, 1966) and, of course, by the ultimate successful refinement of the structure.
Small chunky crystals of DYEM ( $0.46 \times 0.36 \times 0.25$ mm ) and DYEA ( $0.38 \times 0.25 \times 0.23 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were chosen for intensity data collection on an automatic Picker four-circle goniostat at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Twenty reflections for DYEM and eighteen reflections for DYEA, all at moderately high Bragg angles ( $\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha_{1}$ radiation), were accurately centered through very narrow vertical and horizontal slits at a take-off angle of $0 \cdot 5^{\circ}$. These observations were used as input to the computer program PICK2 (Ibers, 1966) which refined the cell and orientation parameters by the method of least squares and generated the cards to control the automated goniostat.
Intensity data were collected with Zr -filtered Mo radiation at a take-off angle of approximately $2^{\circ}$. A scintillation detector was used and the pulse-height analyzer was set for an approximately $90 \%$ window for DYEA but was inoperative for DYEM. All unique reflections with $2 \theta<43^{\circ}$ for DYEM and $2 \theta<57^{\circ}$ for DYEA were measured by the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique at a $2 \theta$ scan rate of $1.0^{\circ}$ per min. The scan ranges varied from $1 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ at low $2 \theta$ to $2 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ at high $2 \theta$. Stationarycrystal stationary-counter background counts were taken at each end of the scan for 40 sec for DYEM
and 20 sec for DYEA. For count rates above 13,000 c.p.s., brass attenuators were automatically inserted in the diffracted beam.

To check electronic and crystal stability, the intensities of standard reflections ( 055 for DYEM, $\overline{2} 1 \overline{3}$ for DYEA) were measured every 50th reflection. Small systematic decreases ( $5 \%$ for DYEM, $3 \%$ for DYEA) in the intensities of the standard reflections were observed over the period of data collection.

The background for a reflection was approximated by a straight line between the two measured background points. The intensities, corrected for background, were scaled by the standard intensities to correct for the slight systematic decrease noted in these intensities. Lorentz and polarization corrections were made. Absorption corrections were not applied because of the low absorption coefficients and the small size and regularity of the crystals. We estimate the maximum error in the structure amplitudes, $F_{o}$, caused by absorption, to be $\pm 7 \%$ for both crystals. Standard deviations $\sigma(\mathrm{I})$ were based on counting statistics and were corrected to $\sigma(F)$. Those intensities less than $2 \sigma(I)$ were considered unobserved and were set equal to $2 \sigma(I)$ and corrected to structure amplitudes, $F_{1 \mathrm{im}}$. For DYEM the unobserved reflections numbered 695 out of a total of 3666 measured intensities and, for DYEA, 635 out of 3772 were unobserved.

## Determination and refinement of the structures

Both structures were solved by the heavy-atom method from Patterson and Fourier maps. Least-squares refinement utilized a local version of the block-diagonal program NRC-10 (Ahmed, 1970), which minimized $\sum w\left(F_{o}-K F_{c}\right)^{2}$. This program employed $4 \times 4$ blocks for atoms with isotropic temperature factors and $9 \times 9$ blocks for atoms with anisotropic temperature factors and applied Schomaker's correction (Hodgson \& Rollett, 1963) for the interaction between thermal and scale factors. A $6 \times 6$ block was used for the thermal parameters of the iodide ion which defined the origin of DYEA. Weights were defined (Killean \& Lawrence, 1969) as

$$
w^{-1}=\left[\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)\right]^{2}+\left(r F_{o}\right)^{2}+s,
$$

where $r$ and $s$ were chosen to make the averages of $\sum w\left(F_{o}-K F_{c}\right)^{2}$ approximately constant for groups of increasing $F_{o}$ 's (Cruickshank, 1965). Unobserved reflections were included in the refinement and the agreement indices if $\left|F_{c}\right|>F_{1 i m}$. The usual agreement index was defined as $R_{1}=\sum\left|F_{o}-K F_{c}\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{o}\right|$ and the weighted agreement index as $\left.R_{2}=\left[\sum w\left(F_{o}-K F_{c}\right)^{2} / \sum w F_{o}^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$. The atomic scattering factors were obtained from Cromer \& Waber (1965) for the iodide ion, Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965) for hydrogen, and International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962) for the other atoms. The anomalous scattering components for chlorine $\left(\Delta f^{\prime}=0.132, \Delta f^{\prime \prime}=0.159\right)$ and iodine $\left(\Delta f^{\prime}=\right.$ $-0.726 . \Delta f^{\prime \prime}=1.812$ ) (Cromer \& Liberman, 1970)

Table 1. Final atomic parameters for the nonhydrogen atoms of DYEM
Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.
The anisotropic thermal parameters are in form $\exp \left[-0.25\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} B_{11}+\ldots 2 k l b^{*} c^{*} B_{23}\right)\right]$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $Z$ | $B_{11}$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.23087121 | $0.0451314)$ | $0.83594(3)$ | $3.8712)$ | 8.03(2) | 4.91 (2) | $0.1812)$ | $0.4511)$ | $0.64(2)$ |
| CL(2) | $0.4947(1)$ | $0.0577(1)$ | $0.6078(1)$ | $8.0819)$ | 4.60 (7) | $3.98(6)$ | -0.45(6) | $3.22(6)$ | -0.83(5) |
| CL(2) | $0.3568(1)$ | $0.0789(2)$ | $0.6125(1)$ | 6.46 (7) | $8.09(10)$ | $3.56(6)$ | -1.94(7) | $0.81(5)$ | -1.22(6) |
| CL(3) | $0.3639(1)$ | $0.4475(2)$ | $1.7255(1)$ | $6.87(7)$ | 7.00(10) | 6.02(5) | 1.28(9) | $3.49(7)$ | - C.79(7) |
| CLI4) | $0.3022(1)$ | $0.4492(1)$ | 1.8711(1) | $8.39(9)$ | $4.88(7)$ | 3.44(6) | $0.20(7)$ | 1.88(5) | -0.75(5) |
| N(1) | $0.5522(2)$ | 0.1575 (3) | 1.0060(3) | $3.312)$ | 3.5(2) | 3.2(2) | $0.2(1)$ | 1.2(1) | $0.2(1)$ |
| $N(2)$ | $0.4552(2)$ | $0.1689(3)$ | $1.0086(3)$ | $3.012)$ | 4.2(2) | 3.0(2) | $0.111)$ | 1.3(1) | -0.1(1) |
| N(3) | $0.4583(2)$ | $0.328613)$ | $1.4303(3)$ | 3.2(2) | $3.612)$ | 2.712) | $0.111)$ | $0.611)$ | -0.011) |
| N(4) | 0.5559(2) | $0.3322(3)$ | 1.5306(3) | 3.312) | 3.9(2) | 2.912) | -0.011) | $0.611)$ | -0.0(1) |
| C(1) | $0.5143(2)$ | 0.1341 (4) | $0.9050(3)$ | $3.8(2)$ | 2.8(2) | 3.012) | -0.012) | 1.2(2) | $0.3(2)$ |
| C(2) | 0.5289(2) | $0.1078(4)$ | $0.8134(4)$ | 4.4(2) | 3.0(2) | 4.212) | 0.3(2) | 2.0 21 | $0.3(2)$ |
| C(3) | $0.4792(2)$ | $0.0905(4)$ | $0.7246(4)$ | $5.8(3)$ | 2.812) | $3.4(2)$ | -0.3(2) | 2.5(2) | -0.0(2) |
| C(4) | $0.418012)$ | $0.0996(4)$ | $0.7256(3)$ | 5.0) 2 ) | 3.812) | 2.812) | -0.912) | 0.9(2) | -0.1 2 ) |
| C(5) | $0.4038(2)$ | $0.1249(5)$ | $0.8172(4)$ | $3.7(2)$ | 4.1(2) | $3.7(2)$ | -0.612) | 1.2(2) | -0.5(2) |
| C(6) | $0.4532(2)$ | C.1420(4) | C.9059(3) | $3.612)$ | 3.012) | 3.1(2) | -0.2(2) | 1.5(2) | -0.012) |
| C(7) | $0.5155(2)$ | $0.1806(4)$ | 1.0691(3) | 3.312) | $3 \cdot 1(2)$ | 3.412) | 0.212) | 1.3(2) | $0.7(2)$ |
| C(8) | $0.5407(2)$ | $0.2100(4)$ | 1.1748(3) | 3.0(2) | 4.3(2) | 3.2(2) | $0.312)$ | $0.612)$ | $0.3(2)$ |
| C(9) | $0.5111(2)$ | $0.2441(4)$ | 1.2469(3) | 3.2(2) | 3.012) | $3.1(2)$ | 0.12 ) | $0.912)$ | $0.2(2)$ |
| C(10) | $0.3424(2)$ | $0.2733(4)$ | 1.3504(3) | 3.312) | 3.912) | 3.1(2) | $0.3(2)$ | $0.812)$ | $0.2(2)$ |
| C(11) | $0.5182(2)$ | $0.3092(4)$ | 1.4303(3) | 3.4(2) | 3.0(2) | 2.9(2) | -0.212) | $0.4(2)$ | $0.3(2)$ |
| C(12) | $0.4578(2)$ | $0.3605(4)$ | 1.5302(3) | $3.9(2)$ | 2.8(2) | $3.2(2)$ | 0.12 ) | $0.712)$ | -0.012) |
| C(13) | $0.4088(2)$ | $0.3857(4)$ | 1.5691(4) | $3.7(2)$ | 3.5(2) | 4.3 (2) | $0.212)$ | 1.2(2) | $0.312)$ |
| C(14) | 0.4246(2) | $0.4136(4)$ | 1.6740(4) | 5.6(3) | $3.3(2)$ | 4.3(2) | $0.612)$ | 2.4(2) | -0.4(2) |
| C(15) | $0.4857(2)$ | $0.4159(4)$ | $1.7384(4)$ | 5.8(3) | 2.9(2) | $3.1(2)$ | -0.1(2) | 1.3(2) | -0.0(2) |
| C(16) | $0.5350(2)$ | $0.3900(4)$ | $1.7003(4)$ | $4.512)$ | 2.8(2) | 3.5(2) | -0.4(2) | $0.812)$ | -0.2(2) |
| C(17) | $0.519312)$ | $0.3621(4)$ | 1.5936(3) | 4.312) | 2.5(2) | 3.2(2) | -0.2(2) | $0.912)$ | -0.1(2) |
| C(18) | $0.6199(2)$ | $0.1614(5)$ | $1.0388(4)$ | $3.6(2)$ | $6.113)$ | 4.4(2) | 0.8(2) | 1.7(2) | $0.3(2)$ |
| C(19) | $0.6452(3)$ | $0.2999(6)$ | $1.0451(5)$ | 4.6(3) | $8.2(4)$ | 7.4(4) | -1.9(3) | 2.5(3) | $0.113)$ |
| $C(20)$ | $0.3986(2)$ | $0.1781(5)$ | $1.0402(4)$ | 3.2(2) | 6.1) 3 ) | $3.7(2)$ | -0.8(2) | 1.012) | -0.8(2) |
| C(21) | $0.3753(3)$ | $0.3045(6)$ | $1.0332(4)$ | 5.8(3) | 8.9(4) | $5.1(3)$ | 2.7131 | 2.7(2) | -0.2) 31 |
| C(22) | $0.4022(2)$ | $0.3184(5)$ | 1.3420(4) | 3.2(2) | 5.5(3) | $3.6(2)$ | $0.612)$ | $0.612)$ | -0.4(2) |
| C(23) | $0.3775(3)$ | $0.1921(6)$ | 1.3246(4) | $4.6(3)$ | $7.5(4)$ | 5.1(3) | -2.2(3) | $0.9(2)$ | -0.7(3) |
| C(24) | 0.6236(2) | $0.3231(5)$ | 1.5669(4) | 3.2(2) | $6.013)$ | $4.1(2)$ | -0.2(2) | $0.412)$ | -0.4(2) |
| C(25) | $0.6471(3)$ | $0.1976(6)$ | 1.5921(5) | $4.6(3)$ | 7.2\{4) | 7.5(4) | $0.713)$ | $0.613)$ | 1.113) |
| C(26) | $0.2259(4)$ | $0.2824(10)$ | $0.3684(9)$ | 7.2(5) | 15.2(8) | 16.6(8) | -3.5(5) | $4.6(5)$ | -1.3(7) |
| C(27) | 0.2323 (4) | $0.4490(8)$ | $0.8464(6)$ | 7.5(4) | $11.1(6)$ | 9.7(5) | 2.3(4) | $0.7(4)$ | -1.5(4) |
| 0(1) | 0.2666(2) | $0.3840(7)$ | $0.3951(4)$ | $6.613)$ | $18.7(6)$ | $9.2(3)$ | 2.e(3) | 1.3(2) | $2.5(4)$ |
| 0(2) | 0.1981 (4) | $0.5117(10)$ | $0.8901(6)$ | 6.3(4) | 12.5(7) | $5.2(4)$ | 2.7(5) | 2.3(3) | 0.9(4) |
| O(3) | $0.1828(4)$ | $0.3639(11)$ | $0.8455(8)$ | 7.3(5) | $13.6(8)$ | $8.5(6)$ | 2.4(5) | $4.614)$ | 2.5(6) |

were used in the final cycles of both refinements. All computations were performed on an IBM 360/65 computer.

## DYEM

The iodide ion was easily located from an ordinary $F_{o}^{2}$ Patterson map. An electron density map, phased by the iodide ion, revealed the positions of the other 33 nonhydrogen atoms of the dye. Several cycles of leastsquares refinement, first with isotropic and then with anisotropic thermal parameters, reduced $R_{1}$ to 0.13 . A difference Fourier map contained five peaks which were interpreted as two molecules of methanol: one ordered and one disordered. The five atoms were assigned carbon scattering factors and equal isotropic temperature factors, and the two end atoms of the three-atom group were given occupancy factors of $0 \cdot 5$. Refinement of the solvate positional and thermal parameters alone yielded thermal parameters that identified three of the atoms as oxygen; this assignment was consistent with the peak heights in the difference Fourier map. With the correct scattering factors, the solvate atoms were refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations (Busing, Martin \& Levy, 1962) with the occupancy factor of $O(2)$ allowed to vary [that of $O(3)$ was constrained as $1-O(2)]$. The occupancy for $O(2)$

Table 2. Atomic parameters for the hydrogen atoms of DYEM
$r$ is the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $H(1)$ | 0.575 | 0.103 | 0.811 | 3.72 | 1.05 |
| $H(2)$ | 0.362 | 0.129 | 0.825 | 3.90 | 0.98 |
| $H(3)$ | 0.364 | 0.383 | 1.528 | 3.89 | 0.99 |
| $H(4)$ | 0.582 | 0.391 | 1.750 | 3.68 | 1.07 |
| $H(5)$ | 0.581 | 0.204 | 1.205 | 3.54 | 0.88 |
| $H(6)$ | 0.463 | 0.242 | 1.225 | 3.12 | 1.04 |
| $H(7)$ | 0.588 | 0.263 | 1.368 | 3.39 | 0.99 |
| $H(8)$ | 0.637 | 0.123 | 1.104 | 4.53 | 0.94 |
| $H(9)$ | 0.633 | 0.120 | 0.994 | 4.53 | 0.87 |
| $H(10)$ | 0.633 | 0.345 | 1.102 | 6.53 | 1.07 |
| $H(11)$ | 0.686 | 0.288 | 1.067 | 6.53 | 0.88 |
| $H(12)$ | 0.642 | 0.308 | 0.967 | 6.53 | 1.04 |
| $H(13)$ | 0.368 | 0.132 | 0.997 | 4.31 | 0.91 |
| $H(14)$ | 0.410 | 0.135 | 1.117 | 4.31 | 1.09 |
| $H(15)$ | 0.340 | 0.313 | 1.050 | 6.65 | 0.89 |
| $H(16)$ | 0.406 | 0.364 | 1.088 | 6.65 | 1.07 |
| $H(17)$ | 0.363 | 0.326 | 0.963 | 6.65 | 0.93 |
| $H(18)$ | 0.368 | 0.144 | 1.379 | 5.77 | 0.98 |
| $H(19)$ | 0.410 | 0.137 | 1.313 | 5.77 | 1.00 |
| $H(20)$ | 0.344 | 0.189 | 1.279 | 5.77 | 0.82 |
| $H(21)$ | 0.411 | 0.356 | 1.283 | 4.25 | 0.96 |
| $H(22)$ | 0.371 | 0.365 | 1.357 | 4.25 | 0.94 |
| $H(23)$ | 0.645 | 0.363 | 1.523 | 4.69 | 0.97 |
| $H(24)$ | 0.639 | 0.396 | 1.625 | 4.69 | $1.1 C$ |
| $H(25)$ | 0.625 | 0.150 | 1.633 | 6.87 | 0.99 |
| $H(26)$ | 0.622 | 0.135 | 1.533 | 6.87 | 1.07 |
| $H(27)$ | 0.688 | 0.200 | 1.608 | 6.87 | 0.88 |

Table 3．Observed and calculated structure factors for DYEM
The column headings are $h, l, 10 F_{o} / K, 10 F_{c}$ and $10 \sigma\left(F_{o}\right) / K . *$ denotes unobserved reflections．
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Table 3 (cont.)

remained as 0.500 and was held at that value for the remainder of the refinement.
Refinement proceeded with anisotropic thermal parameters for all atoms until the parameter shifts were small ( $R=0.052$ ). The 27 hydrogen atoms of the dye were located from a difference Fourier map and were assigned isotropic thermal parameters equivalent to the anisotropic thermal parameters of the atoms to which they were bonded (Hamilton, 1959). Continued refinement of the heavy-atom parameters reduced $R_{1}$ to 0.037 . The hydrogen atoms were redetermined from a new difference Fourier map and refinement was terminated when all shifts were less than $0.1 \sigma$. The final agreement indices for the 2971 observed reflections plus the 58 unobserved reflections with $\left|F_{c}\right|>F_{1 \text { m }}$ are $R_{1}=0.033$ and $R_{2}=0.040$. Of the unobserved reflec-
tions with $\left|F_{c}\right|>F_{1 i m}$, none had $\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|>1 \cdot 7 F_{1 \mathrm{~m}}$. The final weighting scheme had $r=0.02$ and $s=2.0$. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight was 0.96 . A final difference Fourier map contained residual electron densities between -0.46 and +0.33 e. $\AA^{-3}$ with all detail beyond $\pm 0.21$ e. $\AA^{-3}$ located near the iodide ion and the methanol molecules.
The final positional and thermal parameters, with standard deviations estimated from the least-squares process, are given in Table 1 for the heavy atoms. The average standard deviations for the positional parameters expressed in $A$ are 0.0004 for iodine, 0.0015 for chlorine, 0.0036 for nitrogen, 0.0046 for ring and
bridge carbon, 0.0051 for methylene carbon, 0.0063 for bridge carbon, 0.0051 for methy. 0 for the other solvate methyl carbon and $\mathrm{O}(1)$ and 0.01 for the orthe
atoms. Table 2 contains the hydrogen parameters.

Table 3 compares the observed and calculated structure factors and the standard deviations based on counting statistics.

## DYEA

The iodide ion was chosen at $(0,0,0)$ to fix the origin. A sharpened $\left(E^{2}-1\right)$ Patterson map revealed the four chlorine atoms, and two subsequent Fourier maps yielded all remaining atoms of the dye. Several cycles of least-squares refinement, first with isotropic and then with anisotropic thermal parameters, reduced $R_{1}$ to 0.078 . A difference Fourier map revealed the three nonhydrogen atoms of a molecule of acetonitrile and 15 hydrogen atoms of the dye, representing all but the methyl hydrogen atoms. Refinement was continued with the dye heavy atoms being varied anisotropically, the solvate atoms varied isotropically, and the hydrogen atoms held constant, until $R_{1}=0 \cdot 044$. At this point, the real and imaginary parts of the anomalous scattering factors were first applied to iodine and chlorine and the structure was tested for absolute configuration. The configuration reported here gave $R_{2}=0.057$ and the inverted configuration gave $R_{2}=0.063$. In addition, the intensities of 46 Friedel pairs of reflections for which the calculated structure factors differed by more than $10 \%$ were measured. In all but one case, the ratios of the observed structure factors agreed with the
ratios of the calculated structure factors. These two tests indicate that the configuration reported here is the correct one for this particular crystal.

A difference Fourier did not produce suitable methyl hydrogen atoms and refinement was continued as before to $R_{1}=0.037$. Another difference Fourier map failed to produce satisfactory methyl hydrogen atom positions. The other 15 hydrogen atoms had such unreasonable distances and angles that it was decided to exclude them from the calculations completely. Refinement was continued with anisotropic thermal parameters for all atoms and was terminated when all shifts were less than $0 \cdot 3 \sigma$. The final agreement indices for the 3137 observed reflections plus the 95 unobserved reflections with $\left|F_{c}\right|>F_{1 \text { lim }}$ are $R_{1}=0.040$ and $R_{2}=0.048$. Of the unobserved reflections with $\left|F_{c}\right|>F_{1 i}$, none had $\left|F_{c}\right|>1.5 F_{\text {lim }}$. The final weighting scheme had $r=$ 0.025 and $s=0$. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight was $1 \cdot 32$. A final difference Fourier map contained residual electron densities between -0.32 and +0.61 e. $\AA^{-3}$ with all detail beyond $\pm 0.32$ e. $\AA^{-3}$ attributable to either hydrogen or iodine.

The final positional and thermal parameters, with standard deviations estimated from the least-squares process, are given in Table 4. The average standard deviations of the positional parameters expressed in $\AA$ are 0.0019 for chlorine, 0.0047 for nitrogen, 0.0057 for

Table 4. Final atomic parameters for DYEA
Estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses.
The anisotropic thermal parameters are in the form $\exp \left[-0.25\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} B_{11}+\ldots 2 k l b^{*} c^{*} B_{23}\right)\right]$.

|  | $x$ | $V$ | $z$ | $B_{11}$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.91(1) | 4.94(2) | $8.07(3)$ | -0.88(1) | 1.30(1) | $0.0612)$ |
| CL(1) | $0.5745(2)$ | 1.3104(2) | -0.1256(2) | $5.80(8)$ | 5.37(8) | 3.35(6) | -1.68(6) | 1.66(6) | $1.20(5)$ |
| CL(2) | 0.2672(2) | 1.3169(2) | -0.1443(2) | $4.71(7)$ | 4.68(7) | $3.83(6)$ | -0.47(6) | $0.05(5)$ | 1.42 (5) |
| CL(3) | 0.2393(2) | $0.5638(2)$ | 1.3130(2) | $4.08(6)$ | 5.50(8) | $5.02(8)$ | -1.37(6) | 2.01(6) | 1.16(6) |
| CL(4) | 0.5453(2) | $0.4472(2)$ | $1.5170(2)$ | 5.19(8) | 5.04(8) | $3.34(6)$ | -0.68(5) | $1.44(5)$ | $1.57(5)$ |
| N(1) | $0.6895(5)$ | 1.0203(6) | $0.3917(5)$ | 2.912) | 3.5(2) | $3.2(2)$ | -0.611) | 1.0(1) | $0.912)$ |
| $N(2)$ | $0.4727(5)$ | 1.0199(6) | $0.3737(5)$ | $3.012)$ | 3.9(2) | $3.1(2)$ | -1.111) | 1.0(1) | $0.5(2)$ |
| $N(3)$ | $0.4605(5)$ | $0.7725(5)$ | $0.9481(5)$ | $3.012)$ | 2.812) | 2.912) | -0.711) | $0.9(1)$ | $0.4(1)$ |
| $N(4)$ | $0.6740(4)$ | $0.6973(6)$ | 1.0939(5) | 2.5(2) | 3.3(2) | 2.7(2) | -0.611) | $0.4(1)$ | $0.7(1)$ |
| $N(5)$ | 0.9954(13) | 0.5375(13) | $0.5247(10)$ | 9.2(5) | $8.7(7)$ | 6.8(5) | -3.9(5) | -0.9(4) | 1.8(4) |
| C(1) | $0.6096(5)$ | $1.0907(6)$ | $0.2536(6)$ | 3.1(2) | 2.9(2) | 2.8(2) | -0.9(2) | 1.0(2) | $0.1(2)$ |
| C(2) | $0.6452(6)$ | $1.1556(7)$ | 0.1404(6) | 3.3(2) | $3.012)$ | 3.3(2) | -0.912) | 1.3(2) | $0.0(2)$ |
| C(3) | $0.5375(6)$ | 1.2247(7) | $0.0201(8)$ | 4.3(3) | 3.1(2) | 2.5(2) | -0.912) | 1.1(2) | $0.2(2)$ |
| C(4) | $0.3990(6)$ | 1.2279(7) | $0.0102(6)$ | $4.0(2)$ | 2.8(2) | 2.912) | -0.812) | $0.4(2)$ | $0.7(2)$ |
| C(5) | $0.3641(6)$ | $1.1616(7)$ | $0.1218(7)$ | 3.3(2) | 3.3(2) | 3.2(2) | -0.912) | $0.6(2)$ | $0.1(2)$ |
| C(6) | $0.4734(6)$ | $1.0924(7)$ | 0.2444 (6) | 3.6(2) | 2.9(2) | 2.7(2) | -1.012) | $0.9(2)$ | $0.3(2)$ |
| C(7) | $0.6062(6)$ | $0.9809(7)$ | $0.4680(6)$ | 3.2(2) | 3.4(2) | 2.8(2) | -1.112) | 1.1(2) | $0.0(2)$ |
| C(8) | $0.6585(6)$ | 0.9206(8) | $0.6172(8)$ | $3.012)$ | 4.0(2) | 3.2(2) | -0.612) | $0.7(2)$ | 1.3(2) |
| C(9) | $0.5870(6)$ | $0.8697(7)$ | $0.7056(6)$ | 3.7(2) | 2.7(2) | 2.9(2) | -1.1(2) | $0.9(2)$ | -0.1(2) |
| C(10) | 0.6515(6) | $0.8261(7)$ | $0.8557(6)$ | $3.0(2)$ | 3.5(2) | 3.1(2) | -0.812) | $0.812)$ | $0.7(2)$ |
| C(11) | 0.5946 (5) | $0.7694(6)$ | $0.9578(6)$ | 2.8(2) | 2.8(2) | $2.612)$ | -0.711) | $0.6(2)$ | $0.2(2)$ |
| C(12) | 0.4553(5) | $0.7006(6)$ | 1.0756(6) | 2.812) | 2.612) | 2.7(2) | -0.511) | $0.6(2)$ | $0.4(2)$ |
| C(13) | $0.3429(6)$ | $0.6730(7)$ | 1.1168 (6) | 3.1(2) | $3.1(2)$ | 3.1(2) | -0.612) | 1.1(2) | $0.2(2)$ |
| C(14) | $0.3744(6)$ | $0.5957(7)$ | 1.2548(7) | 3.3(2) | $3.0(2)$ | $3.612)$ | -0.712) | 1.5(2) | -0.2(2) |
| C(15) | $0.5113(6)$ | $0.5485(7)$ | 1.3466(6) | 4.1(2) | 2.8(2) | 2.7(2) | -0.7(2) | 1.3(2) | $0.4(2)$ |
| C(16) | 0.6223(6) | $0.5747(7)$ | $1.3062(6)$ | $3.6(2)$ | 2.8(2) | 2.8(2) | -0.8(2) | $0.8(2)$ | 0.3(2) |
| C(17) | 0.5903(5) | $0.6532(6)$ | 1.1671(6) | 3.0(2) | 2.4(2) | 2.7(2) | -0.6(2) | 0.8(2) | $0.1(2)$ |
| C(18) | $0.8418(6)$ | $0.9945(8)$ | $0.4470(8)$ | 2.8(2) | 4.5(3) | 4.4(3) | -0.6(2) | 1.0(2) | 1.0(2) |
| C(19) | $0.8866(8)$ | 1.1438(12) | $0.5345(11)$ | 4.1(3) | 6.4(4) | $7.4(5)$ | -2.5(3) | 0.3(3) | -0.7(3) |
| C(20) | $0.3459(6)$ | 0.9961(9) | $0.4021(8)$ | 3.1(2) | 4.8 (3) | 4.4(3) | -1.c(2) | 1.1(2) | $0.8(2)$ |
| C(21) | $0.2892(10)$ | 1.1383(11) | $0.4985(10)$ | 6.4(4) | 5.3(4) | 6.7(4) | -0.513) | 3.5(4) | 1.0(3) |
| C(22) | 0.3355(6) | $0.8578(7)$ | 0.8335(6) | 3.1(2) | 3.5(2) | 3.0(2) | -0.112) | $0.5(2)$ | $0.8(2)$ |
| C(23) | $0.2771(8)$ | $0.7379(9)$ | $0.7107(7)$ | 4.613) | 5.4(3) | $3.6(3)$ | -2.113) | -0.2(2) | $0.5(2)$ |
| C(24) | $0.8265(6)$ | $0.6549(8)$ | 1.1504(7) | 2.8(2) | $4.0(3)$ | 3.6121 | -1.012) | $0.4(2)$ | 0.9(2) |
| C(25) | 0.8808(8) | $0.4723(10)$ | 1.1246(10) | $3.6(3)$ | $5.2(4)$ | $6.5(4)$ | -0.112) | 0.9(3) | -0.5(3) |
| C(26) | 0.9299(12) | 0.5271(16) | $0.7714(14)$ | 6.2(5) | 9.5(7) | $8.616)$ | $0.4(5)$ | 2.7(5) | -0.9(5) |
| C(27) | $0.9672(9)$ | $0.5356(11)$ | $0.6304(11)$ | 4.6(3) | 5.2(4) | 7.1(5) | -0.4(3) | -1.5(3) | $0.2(3)$ |

Table 5．Observed and calculated structure factors for DYEA
The column headings are $h, l, 10 F_{o} / K, 10 F_{c}$ and $10 \sigma\left(F_{o}\right) / K$. ＊denotes unobserved reflections．
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[^0]ring and bridge carbon, 0.0064 for methylene carbon, 0.0087 for methyl carbon, and 0.011 for solvate atoms. The observed and calculated structure factors and the standard deviations, $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$, based on counting statistics are compared in Table 5.

## Description of the structures

The atomic labeling and interatomic distances and angles, uncorrected for thermal motion, are shown in Fig. 1 for the dye cations and in Fig. 2 for the solvate molecules. The values shown in Fig. 1(c) are averages of similarly situated bonds or angles. The cell-parameter errors and the coordinate standard deviations ob-
tained from the least-squares refinement were used to calculate estimated standard deviations for the distances and angles. These e.s.d.'s average $0 \cdot 010 \AA$ (range $0.007-0.014 \AA$ ) and $0 \cdot 6^{\circ}$ (range, $0 \cdot 5-0 \cdot 7^{\circ}$ ) for DYEM and $0.009 \AA$ (range, $0.006-0.016 \AA$ ) and $0.6^{\circ}$ (range $0 \cdot 5-0 \cdot 6^{\circ}$, but $1 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ for solvate) for DYEA and appear reasonable when equivalent bonds are compared.

The distances and angles for the cations in the two structures agree very well with each other and with similar bonds of other structures (Smith \& Barrett, 1971; Sutton, 1965; Lide, 1962). The eight C-C bonds of the trimethine bridges average $1.395 \AA$ and the 24 bonds of the benzo groups average $1 \cdot 388 \AA$, both in good agreement with the $1.394 \AA$ excepted for arc-
matic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds of the cations average $1 \cdot 371 \AA$ for the conjugated $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$-type bonds, $1 \cdot 383 \AA$ for $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$-type bonds, and $1.468 \AA$ for the unconjugated $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(18)$-type bonds. These values compare with the well known $1.34 \AA$ for aromatic $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds and $1.47 \AA$ for unconjugated $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds. The C-C bonds of the ethyl groups average a short $1.503 \AA$, probably due to neglect of the thermal motion. The apparent lengthening of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds of the ethyl groups of DYEA compared to those of DYEM is probably caused by the neglect of hydrogen
atoms in the refinement of the DYEA structure. The C-Cl distance of $1.736 \AA$ agrees well with the $1.737 \AA$ obtained from a tabulation of aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds by Palenik, Donohue \& Trueblood (1968), but is longer than the $1.709 \AA$ claimed by Rudman (1971) for aromatic compounds with ortho chlorine atoms.
As is evident from the stereoscopic views (Johnson, 1965) in Fig. 3, the cations are only approximately planar, in spite of the high degree of conjugation. Atomic deviations from least-squares planes fitted to atoms $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(17)$ and $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{N}(4)$ are as much as $0.14 \AA$
(a)




Fig. 1. Atomic labeling, and bond lengths and angles for the dye cations. The upper numbers are for DYEM, the lower for DYEA. (c) shows averages for equivalent bonds.

(b)


Fig. 2. Labeling and distances and angles for the solvent molecules in ( $a$ ) DYEM and (b) DYEA.

(a)


(b)

Fig. 3. Stereoscopic views of the cations of (a) DYEM and (b) DYEA.
for $\mathrm{N}(2)$ of DYEM and $0 \cdot 16 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}(10)$ of DYEA, and the peripheral substituents show even larger deviations. The two cations, though distorted somewhat differently overall by the molecular packing, exhibit similar bond angle distortions caused by intramolecular overcrowding between $\mathrm{H}(6)$, the ethyl group at $\mathrm{N}(2)$ and the ethyl group at $\mathrm{N}(3)$. The average contacts between the groups are $3 \cdot 97 \AA$ for methylene $\cdot \cdot$ methyl C $\cdots$ C, $4.06 \AA$ for methyl $\cdots$ methyl C...C, $4.29 \AA$ for methylene $\cdots$ methylene $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ and $2.54 \AA$ for methylene $\cdots$ hydrogen $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{H}(6)$. To achieve these separations, which are consistent with van der Waals distances (Pauling, 1960), and to minimize strain, the angles at $\mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{C}(9), \mathrm{C}(10), \mathrm{C}(11)$ and $\mathrm{N}(3)$ are distorted by as much as $4^{\circ}$ from expected symmetrical values and the trimethine bridges have twisted somewhat. Thus, the only truly planar parts of the cations are the benzo groups with their adjacent nitrogens. These planes are essentially parallel but displaced by $0.5 \AA$ for DYEA; for DYEM they are inclined at $4.3^{\circ}$.

The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ angles show a slight overcrowding of the chlorine atoms. The benzo angles at $\mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(5)$, $C(13)$ and $C(16)$ average $116 \cdot 1^{\circ}$, and the remaining benzo angles average $122 \cdot 0^{\circ}$. Similar distortions have been noted (Luss \& Smith, 1972) for 9 -fluorenone and other compounds in which the benzo ring is fused to a four- or five-membered ring. The angular strain imposed by the smaller rings causes the benzo angles at the point of fusion to become larger than $120^{\circ}$ and the angles at $\mathrm{C}(2)$-type positions are reduced below $120^{\circ}$.
The methanol molecules in the DYEM structure have high thermal parameters and a partial disorder, but the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ distances compare fairly well with the accepted value of $1 \cdot 43 \AA$. For the acetonitrile molecule in DYEA, the C-C distance is somewhat longer and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ distance somewhat shorter than normal, but not significantly so.

All intermolecular contacts are consistent with van der Waals radii, but the $\mathrm{Cl}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(1)(1-x,-y, 1-z)$ separation of $3.23 \AA$ in DYEM implies that the effective van der Waals radius of chlorine is somewhat less than the $1.80 \AA$ tabulated by Pauling (1960). Molecular packing diagrams are shown stereoscopically in Fig. 4. In both structures the dye cations pack plane to plane and end to end on edge in sheets parallel to (100). The mean cation planes make angles of 87.6 and $85.8^{\circ}$ with these sheets for DYEM and DYEA respectively. The sheets, which correspond to $d_{100}=9 \cdot 69 \AA$ in DYEA and $d_{200}=10.75 \AA$ in DYEM, are separated by sheets containing the iodide ions and the solute molecules. In DYEA all the cations are related by unit-cell translations and hence all are oriented identically with the result that they also lie flat in (021) layers ( $d_{021}=3.66 \AA$ ). In DYEM adjacent cation sheets are related by the glide planes and screw axes, which results in an angle of $30.8^{\circ}$ between the long axes of the molecules of adjacent sheets. Within a DYEM sheet, the cations in columns along $\mathbf{c}$ are related by
the $c$ translation and are oriented identically, but the adjacent columns are related by centers of symmetry so these cations are inverted. Nevertheless, as shown in Fig. 5, there is a remarkable similarity of the (100) projections of the cation sheets in the two structures. The lines of end-to-end cations ( $[013]$ in DYEM, [012] in DYEA), apart from the inversion of every other DYEM cation, superimpose very closely. The separation from a given atom to a translationally equivalent atom two molecules farther along the line is $41.62 \AA$ in DYEM and $41.65 \AA$ in DYEA so that each cation occupies approximately $20 \cdot 8 \AA$ of linear space. For reference, the intramolecular $\mathrm{Cl}(2) \cdots \mathrm{Cl}(3)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ $\cdots \mathrm{Cl}(4)$ distances are 15.39 and $17.39 \AA$ in DYEM and 15.37 and $17.37 \AA$ in DYEA. Adjacent lines are separated by $3.55 \AA$ in DYEM but are somewhat farther apart at $3.67 \AA$ in DYEA so that DYEM cations cover $73.8 \AA^{2}$ and DYEA cations cover $76.3 \AA^{2}$ in the (100) projection.

The cation lines are shifted laterally relative to each other by $12 \cdot 90 \AA$ in DYEM and $12 \cdot 29 \AA$ in DYEA to form a tilted brickwork of cations in which the methyl groups, which project from the mean cation planes, are directed toward the gaps between the ends of cations in adjacent lines. In DYEM, methyl groups related by centers of symmetry form planar, almost rectangular arrays around the gaps; in DYEA the arrangement resembles a very distorted tetrahedron. The lateral shifts of the cation lines result in two types of tilted columns of cations stacked plane to plane. The axes of the columns with the greater amount of plane-to-plane overlap are [011] for DYEM and [001] for DYEA and make angles of 24.2 and $23.3^{\circ}$ respectively, with the lines of end-to-end cations. The axes of the columns with the lesser overlap are [001] for DYEM and [ $0 \overline{1} 1]$ for DYEA and make angles of 15.4 and $16.6^{\circ}$ respectively with the cation lines. The extent of plane-to-plane overlap is shown stereoscopically in Fig. 6. Both dyes have one type of lesser overlap. It involves opposite ends of translationally equivalent cations and looks very similar in the projections. However, the plane separation is $3.45 \AA$ in DYEM and $3 \cdot 64 \AA$ in DYEA, a difference probably caused by the different arrangements of the methyl groups around the gaps. The greater overlaps are different for the two dyes, but involve similar plane separations, probably because the methyl groups are directed away from this overlap. DYEA has one type of greater overlap with a plane separation of $3.49 \AA$ between opposite ends of translationally equivalent molecules. DYEM has two very similar cases of greater overlap between centrosymmetrically related ends of molecules. The planes of the $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ ends are separated by $3.48 \AA$ and those of the $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ ends by $3 \cdot 45 \AA$.

## Discussion

Of primary importance here is the extrapolation of the single-crystal structure results reported above to the
structures of sensitizing dye aggregates adsorbed on silver halide grains in photographic emulsions. The intermolecular separations in the (100) cation sheets of DYEM and DYEA bear a striking similarity to the spacings of ions in the predominant (111) octahedral faces of AgBr , a material which crystallizes in the NaCl arrangement with $a=5.775 \AA$ (Wyckoff, 1960). Ideally, the (111) faces of AgBr contain ions of one type lying in [110] rows with each ion surrounded by six nearest neighbors at $4.083 \AA$. The [110] rows are separated by $3.536 \AA$, which closely resembles the plane separation involved in the natural graphitic packing of planar aromatic molecules and the spacings between cation rows in DYEA ( $3.67 \AA$ ) and DYEM ( $3.55 \AA$ ).

(b)

Fig. 4. Stereoscopic views of the molecular packing of (a) DYEM and (b) DYEA. In both cases $\mathbf{b}$ is horizontal, left to right, and the view is from positive $\mathbf{a}^{*}$. Atoms $\mathrm{Cl}(4), \mathrm{O}(1)$, $\mathrm{O}(2)$, and $\mathrm{N}(5)$ are drawn larger for identification purposes.

In both dye structures a cation occupies $20 \cdot 8 \AA$ along a row, $2 \%$ longer than the 20.4 A for five $\mathrm{Ag}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ spacings along [110]. The projected area per cation ( $76.3 \AA^{2}$ for DYEA, $73.8 \AA^{2}$ for DYEM) compares with $72 \cdot 2 \AA^{2}$ for the area occupied by five silver ions in (111) in AgBr . Thus, if DYE adsorbs to AgBr with a definite epitaxic relationship, the cation sheets must be packed somewhat more tightly than in the solvate crystals; the energy of adsorption might provide the means to do this. Alternatively, the dye monolayers could relax somewhat to cover six silver ions per DYE cation along [110] which would presumably make it easier to decrease the spacings between adjacent rows of cations. This relaxation could be even more important if one considers that aggregates also form on AgCl which has a smaller lattice ( $a=5 \cdot 547 \AA$; Wyckoff, 1960) than AgBr .

The long and short lateral displacements of adjacent rows of DYE cations are 12.9 and $7.9 \AA$ in DYEM and 12.3 and $8.5 \AA$ in DYEA, which compare to three and two $\mathrm{Ag}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$spacings. This indicates that the cations are more likely to be associated with the holes between silver or halide ions rather than directly with the silver ions as was suggested by Bird et al. (1968) for benzothiazolocarbocyanine dyes. Bird used lateral displacements of odd integral multiples of half spacings to arrive at postulated tilt angles of 60 , 30 , or $19 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ for the cation stacks, but lateral displace-


Fig. 5. (100) projections of a cation sheet of (a) DYEM and (b) DYEA. Cl(4) is drawn larger for identification. Stacking angles have been drawn in.


Fig. 6. Stereoscopic views of the cation overlap in (a) DYEM and (b) DYEA. a ${ }^{*}$ is horizontal. The vertical axis is [013] in DYEM and [0T2] in DYEA. Cl(4) is drawn larger for identification.
ments of $0,1,2$ or $3 \mathrm{Ag}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$separations would yield stacking angles of $90,40 \cdot 9,23 \cdot 4$ or $16 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ respectively. The latter two angles are approached in DYEA and DYEM. Norland et al. (1970) have used the model of Bird et al. (1968) to make quantum mechanical calculations based on the theory of simple electrostatic coupling of molecular transition densities to describe the spectral shifts of aggregates. For linear aggregates of 3,3'-diethylthiacarbocyanine cations, the calculations predict blue-shifted $(H)$ spectra for tilt angles greater than $32^{\circ}$ and red-shifted ( $J$ ) spectra for tilt angles less than $32^{\circ}$. The calculations were extended to other dyes and to sheets of linear aggregates stacked side by side with little change in the results. Dichroism measurements (Gray et al. 1970) have suggested that DYE and
benzothiazolocarbocyanine dyes take up identical structures on AgBr . For the $J$-aggregate of DYE adsorbed on AgBr , the sharpness of the absorption bands and the absence of doublet bands indicate a simple aggregate structure of one molecule per cell (Gray et al., 1970; Hochstrasser \& Kasha, 1964) such as occurs in DYEA. However, it should be noted that although the unit cell of a DYEM monolayer contains two molecules, these molecules are related by a center of symmetry. Consequently, the transition moments for the cations are parallel and a sharp absorption spectrum would be expected for a DYEM-like monolayer as well as for DYEA.

It is possible that the striking correlations of structural parameters between silver halides and DYE could be coincidental. Additional spectroscopic and crystallographic studies are clearly necessary before a definitive model of dye aggregation on silver halides will emerge.

We are indebted to P. B. Gilman for suggesting the problem and for subsequent discussion, to E. J. VanLare for furnishing samples, to S. J. Marino for initial crystallization of DYEA, to P. I. Rose for nuclear magnetic resonance spectra and to A. P. Marchetti and R. L. Griffith for discussion.
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